

United States Patent and Trademark Office

7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/467,324	12/20/1999	Barrett Comisky	INK-064 (2108/)	2238
21323	7590 06/04/2003			•
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP HIGH STREET TOWER 125 HIGH STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			EXAMINER	
			HANIG, RICHARD E	
DOSTON, IV	IA 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Define Antion Commons	09/467,324	COMISKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Hanig	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	January 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10, 21-24, 26-33, 37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-24,26-33 and 37</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>I</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 1999</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Informal Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 10				

Application/Control Number: 09/467,324 Page 2

· Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dalisa et al, patent 4218302 in view of Saxe et al, patent 5650872. Dalisa et al discloses an electrophoretic display device that changes appearance in response to an electric field (see the abstract), but does not necessarily have a protective layer for the electrode, however, Saxe et al in figs. 5 and 7 show the use of protective layers 29. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a protective layer in Dalisa et al because it would give chemical stability to the electrode (fig. 7, Saxe et al) or mechanical stability (fig. 5, Saxe et al).
- 3. Claims 2-10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 21-24, 26-33, 37 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: For claims 2-10, the details of the structure of the system with the details of the protective layer are not shown or suggested by the prior art. For claims 21-24, 26-33, 37 see paper no. 6.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chimenti discusses chemical deterioration of the electrode and the use of a protective layer (col. 28, lines 38-45).

· Art Unit: 2873

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7. examiner should be directed to Richard Hanig whose telephone number is 703-308-4853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4744 for regular communications and 703-746-4744 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

May 30, 2003